

FY 2025 Estimated Excess Cost Grant With Additional \$40 Million Investment

NOTE: Data for Excess Cost entitlements was collected from the Connecticut State Department of Education's FY 2024-25 Revenues report. These figures are **estimates calculated by the School and State Finance Project**, and represent one scenario of what districts may receive with the additional \$40 million investment. These figures are based on publicly available information, and are subject to change by the CSDE and reimbursement requests made by districts.

Tiers	Projected New Reimbursement Percentage
Districts with the lowest wealth (municipalities ranked 115 to 169 in AENGLC)	78.8%
Districts in the middle tier (municipalities ranked 59 to 114 in AENGLC)	75.8%
Districts in the wealthiest tier (municipalities ranked 1 to 58 in AENGLC)	72.8%

\$ 181,106,622	-	\$ 221,455,468	-	\$ 40,348,846	22.3%
-----------------------	---	-----------------------	---	----------------------	--------------

District	AENGLC Rank	Current Capped Scenario (FY 2025)		Projected Under Proposed New Reimbursement Percentages		Change from Current Capped Entitlement	
		Entitlement	Reimbursement Rate	Projected Entitlement	Projected Reimbursement Percentage	#	%
Andover	106	-	-	-	75.8%	-	-
Ansonia	157	2,058,213	64.2%	2,526,679	78.8%	468,466	22.8%
Ashford	120	135,275	64.2%	166,064	78.8%	30,789	22.8%
Avon	26	843,531	60.0%	1,024,208	72.8%	180,677	21.4%
Barkhamsted	90	-	-	-	75.8%	-	-
Berlin	64	931,387	62.1%	1,137,343	75.8%	205,956	22.1%
Bethany	47	58,025	60.0%	70,454	72.8%	12,429	21.4%
Bethel	85	969,790	62.1%	1,184,237	75.8%	214,447	22.1%
Bloomfield	94	634,017	62.1%	774,216	75.8%	140,199	22.1%
Bolton	99	49,976	62.1%	61,028	75.8%	11,052	22.1%
Bozrah	92	-	-	-	75.8%	-	-
Branford	43	916,093	60.0%	1,112,313	72.8%	196,220	21.4%
Bridgeport	165	8,340,997	64.2%	10,239,478	78.8%	1,898,481	22.8%
Bristol	145	5,808,222	64.2%	7,130,223	78.8%	1,322,001	22.8%
Brookfield	38	938,027	60.0%	1,138,944	72.8%	200,917	21.4%
Brooklyn	154	237,559	64.2%	291,629	78.8%	54,070	22.8%
Canaan	31	-	-	-	72.8%	-	-
Canterbury	130	102,604	64.2%	125,959	78.8%	23,355	22.8%
Canton	62	776,212	62.1%	947,856	75.8%	171,644	22.1%
Chaplin	129	-	-	-	78.8%	-	-
Cheshire	61	963,664	62.1%	1,176,759	75.8%	213,095	22.1%

		Current Capped Scenario (FY 2025)		Projected Under Proposed New Reimbursement Percentages		Change from Current Capped Entitlement	
District	AENGLC Rank	Entitlement	Reimbursement Rate	Projected Entitlement	Projected Reimbursement Percentage	#	%
Chester	76	-	-	-	75.8%	-	-
Clinton	80	487,053	62.1%	594,755	75.8%	107,702	22.1%
Colchester	107	1,116,957	62.1%	1,363,949	75.8%	246,992	22.1%
Colebrook	55	-	-	-	72.8%	-	-
Columbia	72	109,831	62.1%	134,117	75.8%	24,286	22.1%
Cornwall	14	-	-	-	72.8%	-	-
Coventry	109	273,547	62.1%	334,037	75.8%	60,490	22.1%
Cromwell	86	1,218,829	62.1%	1,488,347	75.8%	269,518	22.1%
Danbury	117	1,691,030	64.2%	2,075,922	78.8%	384,892	22.8%
Darien	2	2,351,909	60.0%	2,855,671	72.8%	503,762	21.4%
Deep River	79	-	-	-	75.8%	-	-
Derby	155	688,375	64.2%	845,054	78.8%	156,679	22.8%
Eastford	112	-	-	-	75.8%	-	-
East Granby	77	452,993	62.1%	553,163	75.8%	100,170	22.1%
East Haddam	68	275,228	62.1%	336,087	75.8%	60,859	22.1%
East Hampton	121	687,600	64.2%	844,104	78.8%	156,504	22.8%
East Hartford	159	823,146	64.2%	1,010,500	78.8%	187,354	22.8%
East Haven	141	2,187,793	64.2%	2,685,752	78.8%	497,959	22.8%
East Lyme	57	384,811	60.0%	467,235	72.8%	82,424	21.4%
Easton	21	-	-	-	72.8%	-	-
East Windsor	111	367,076	62.1%	448,247	75.8%	81,171	22.1%
Ellington	108	762,034	62.1%	930,544	75.8%	168,510	22.1%
Enfield	137	1,466,478	64.2%	1,800,259	78.8%	333,781	22.8%
Essex	28	10,061	60.0%	12,217	72.8%	2,156	21.4%
Fairfield	24	2,167,560	60.0%	2,631,835	72.8%	464,275	21.4%
Farmington	34	1,469,930	60.0%	1,784,778	72.8%	314,848	21.4%
Franklin	81	97,879	62.1%	119,522	75.8%	21,643	22.1%
Glastonbury	41	1,915,809	60.0%	2,326,161	72.8%	410,352	21.4%
Granby	69	676,274	62.1%	825,819	75.8%	149,545	22.1%
Greenwich	1	1,577,494	60.0%	1,915,381	72.8%	337,887	21.4%
Griswold	146	901,223	64.2%	1,106,349	78.8%	205,126	22.8%
Groton	102	921,117	62.1%	1,124,803	75.8%	203,686	22.1%
Guilford	27	846,219	60.0%	1,027,472	72.8%	181,253	21.4%
Hamden	153	4,142,246	64.2%	5,085,056	78.8%	942,810	22.8%
Hampton	84	-	-	-	75.8%	-	-
Hartford	169	10,345,249	64.2%	12,699,914	78.8%	2,354,665	22.8%
Hartland	71	65,428	62.1%	79,896	75.8%	14,468	22.1%
Hebron	73	172,825	62.1%	211,041	75.8%	38,216	22.1%
Kent	20	-	-	-	72.8%	-	-
Killingly	144	1,140,841	64.2%	1,400,506	78.8%	259,665	22.8%
Lebanon	83	226,639	62.1%	276,755	75.8%	50,116	22.1%
Ledyard	140	647,127	64.2%	794,418	78.8%	147,291	22.8%
Lisbon	103	-	-	-	75.8%	-	-

		Current Capped Scenario (FY 2025)		Projected Under Proposed New Reimbursement Percentages		Change from Current Capped Entitlement	
District	AENGLC Rank	Entitlement	Reimbursement Rate	Projected Entitlement	Projected Reimbursement Percentage	#	%
Madison	22	810,565	60.0%	984,183	72.8%	173,618	21.4%
Manchester	136	3,452,871	64.2%	4,238,773	78.8%	785,902	22.8%
Mansfield	167	54,684	64.2%	67,130	78.8%	12,446	22.8%
Marlborough	87	-	-	-	75.8%	-	-
Meriden	158	4,419,306	64.2%	5,425,178	78.8%	1,005,872	22.8%
Middletown	131	1,722,304	64.2%	2,114,316	78.8%	392,012	22.8%
Milford	48	1,535,387	60.0%	1,864,256	72.8%	328,869	21.4%
Monroe	58	961,357	60.0%	1,167,273	72.8%	205,916	21.4%
Montville	139	725,662	64.2%	890,830	78.8%	165,168	22.8%
Naugatuck	152	1,509,242	64.2%	1,852,758	78.8%	343,516	22.8%
New Britain	166	8,540,981	64.2%	10,484,980	78.8%	1,943,999	22.8%
New Canaan	3	762,625	60.0%	925,972	72.8%	163,347	21.4%
New Fairfield	51	469,682	60.0%	570,284	72.8%	100,602	21.4%
New Hartford	56	87,548	60.0%	106,301	72.8%	18,753	21.4%
New Haven	161	8,027,374	64.2%	9,854,472	78.8%	1,827,098	22.8%
Newington	128	1,586,934	64.2%	1,948,132	78.8%	361,198	22.8%
New London	160	945,784	64.2%	1,161,053	78.8%	215,269	22.8%
New Milford	105	1,752,339	62.1%	2,139,832	75.8%	387,493	22.1%
Newtown	39	1,490,654	60.0%	1,809,940	72.8%	319,286	21.4%
Norfolk	37	39,602	60.0%	48,084	72.8%	8,482	21.4%
North Branford	100	328,131	62.1%	400,691	75.8%	72,560	22.1%
North Canaan	113	-	-	-	75.8%	-	-
North Haven	53	899,251	60.0%	1,091,864	72.8%	192,613	21.4%
North Stonington	115	-	-	-	78.8%	-	-
Norwalk	36	3,591,582	60.0%	4,360,871	72.8%	769,289	21.4%
Norwich	162	2,105,396	64.2%	2,584,601	78.8%	479,205	22.8%
Old Saybrook	18	40,529	60.0%	49,211	72.8%	8,682	21.4%
Orange	35	156,555	60.0%	190,087	72.8%	33,532	21.4%
Oxford	63	850,888	62.1%	1,039,044	75.8%	188,156	22.1%
Plainfield	150	612,935	64.2%	752,444	78.8%	139,509	22.8%
Plainville	126	525,930	64.2%	645,635	78.8%	119,705	22.8%
Plymouth	142	442,680	64.2%	543,438	78.8%	100,758	22.8%
Pomfret	118	281,511	64.2%	345,584	78.8%	64,073	22.8%
Portland	104	390,219	62.1%	476,508	75.8%	86,289	22.1%
Preston	110	205,678	62.1%	251,160	75.8%	45,482	22.1%
Putnam	143	573,666	64.2%	704,238	78.8%	130,572	22.8%
Redding	19	69,869	60.0%	84,835	72.8%	14,966	21.4%
Ridgefield	17	899,926	60.0%	1,092,684	72.8%	192,758	21.4%
Rocky Hill	74	733,773	62.1%	896,030	75.8%	162,257	22.1%
Salem	91	76,923	62.1%	93,934	75.8%	17,011	22.1%
Salisbury	13	-	-	-	72.8%	-	-
Scotland	134	-	-	-	78.8%	-	-
Seymour	138	883,251	64.2%	1,084,286	78.8%	201,035	22.8%

		Current Capped Scenario (FY 2025)		Projected Under Proposed New Reimbursement Percentages		Change from Current Capped Entitlement	
District	AENGLC Rank	Entitlement	Reimbursement Rate	Projected Entitlement	Projected Reimbursement Percentage	#	%
Sharon	9	-	-	-	72.8%	-	-
Shelton	52	1,947,527	60.0%	2,364,673	72.8%	417,146	21.4%
Sherman	15	89,826	60.0%	109,068	72.8%	19,242	21.4%
Simsbury	49	1,989,083	60.0%	2,415,130	72.8%	426,047	21.4%
Somers	125	237,459	64.2%	291,507	78.8%	54,048	22.8%
Southington	101	2,956,214	62.1%	3,609,919	75.8%	653,705	22.1%
South Windsor	54	1,448,406	60.0%	1,758,644	72.8%	310,238	21.4%
Sprague	151	286,088	64.2%	351,203	78.8%	65,115	22.8%
Stafford	149	373,154	64.2%	458,088	78.8%	84,934	22.8%
Stamford	30	6,202,827	60.0%	7,531,424	72.8%	1,328,597	21.4%
Sterling	148	317,425	64.2%	389,673	78.8%	72,248	22.8%
Stonington	29	422,897	60.0%	513,478	72.8%	90,581	21.4%
Stratford	114	2,941,099	62.1%	3,591,462	75.8%	650,363	22.1%
Suffield	89	871,693	62.1%	1,064,450	75.8%	192,757	22.1%
Thomaston	119	347,113	64.2%	426,119	78.8%	79,006	22.8%
Thompson	127	181,680	64.2%	223,031	78.8%	41,351	22.8%
Tolland	82	725,919	62.1%	886,441	75.8%	160,522	22.1%
Torrington	156	2,666,820	64.2%	3,273,811	78.8%	606,991	22.8%
Trumbull	42	1,772,317	60.0%	2,151,934	72.8%	379,617	21.4%
Union	70	-	-	-	75.8%	-	-
Vernon	147	1,043,274	64.2%	1,280,732	78.8%	237,458	22.8%
Voluntown	135	71,211	64.2%	87,420	78.8%	16,209	22.8%
Wallingford	116	2,421,548	64.2%	2,972,713	78.8%	551,165	22.8%
Waterbury	164	4,309,845	64.2%	5,290,802	78.8%	980,957	22.8%
Waterford	40	715,115	60.0%	868,288	72.8%	153,173	21.4%
Watertown	122	1,254,365	64.2%	1,539,869	78.8%	285,504	22.8%
Westbrook	25	65,725	60.0%	79,803	72.8%	14,078	21.4%
West Hartford	60	6,149,355	62.1%	7,509,155	75.8%	1,359,800	22.1%
West Haven	163	2,438,678	64.2%	2,993,742	78.8%	555,064	22.8%
Weston	7	624,597	60.0%	758,382	72.8%	133,785	21.4%
Westport	4	646,797	60.0%	785,337	72.8%	138,540	21.4%
Wethersfield	98	1,935,457	62.1%	2,363,443	75.8%	427,986	22.1%
Willington	132	334,144	64.2%	410,199	78.8%	76,055	22.8%
Wilton	10	941,988	60.0%	1,143,754	72.8%	201,766	21.4%
Winchester	133	524,621	64.2%	644,028	78.8%	119,407	22.8%
Windham	168	636,341	64.2%	781,178	78.8%	144,837	22.8%
Windsor	97	1,374,227	62.1%	1,678,108	75.8%	303,881	22.1%
Windsor Locks	95	586,176	62.1%	715,797	75.8%	129,621	22.1%
Wolcott	123	860,620	64.2%	1,056,503	78.8%	195,883	22.8%
Woodbridge	32	57,049	60.0%	69,268	72.8%	12,219	21.4%
Woodstock	75	210,776	62.1%	257,386	75.8%	46,610	22.1%
District No. 1	36	103,611	60.0%	125,803	72.8%	22,192	21.4%
District No. 4	56	279,055	60.0%	338,826	72.8%	59,771	21.4%

		Current Capped Scenario (FY 2025)		Projected Under Proposed New Reimbursement Percentages		Change from Current Capped Entitlement	
District	AENGLC Rank	Entitlement	Reimbursement Rate	Projected Entitlement	Projected Reimbursement Percentage	#	%
District No. 5	37	747,090	60.0%	907,110	72.8%	160,020	21.4%
District No. 7	63	430,290	62.1%	525,440	75.8%	95,150	22.1%
District No. 8	84	302,622	62.1%	369,539	75.8%	66,917	22.1%
District No. 9	20	614,952	60.0%	746,671	72.8%	131,719	21.4%
District No. 10	66	912,829	62.1%	1,114,683	75.8%	201,854	22.1%
District No. 11	117	109,789	64.2%	134,777	78.8%	24,988	22.8%
District No. 12	8	-	-	-	72.8%	-	-
District No. 13	92	554,920	62.1%	677,629	75.8%	122,709	22.1%
District No. 14	50	391,228	60.0%	475,025	72.8%	83,797	21.4%
District No. 15	49	2,006,284	60.0%	2,436,014	72.8%	429,730	21.4%
District No. 16	114	761,004	62.1%	929,285	75.8%	168,281	22.1%
District No. 17	70	1,035,681	62.1%	1,264,700	75.8%	229,019	22.1%
District No. 18	15	92,132	60.0%	111,867	72.8%	19,735	21.4%
District No. 19	157	381,877	64.2%	468,794	78.8%	86,917	22.8%
District No. 20	35	408,005	60.0%	495,397	72.8%	87,392	21.4%